

The Hydric Soil Technical Standard

Lenore Vasilas USDA NRCS SSD Lenore.Vasilas@wdc.usda.gov



Hydric Soil Technical Note 11

Hydric Soils Technical Standard and Data Submission Requirements for Field Indicators of Hydric Soils

Hydrir Soil: Technical Note 11: Hydrir Soil: Technical Standard and Data Submission Requirements for Field Indicators of Hydric Soils

Revised December 2015

PURPOSE: This document describes the Technical Standard for Hydro Soils (ESTS), which provide a quantitative unstitud to determine of a soil meets the definition of a barbits out. The ESTS is priming model to (1) dentity the lydras soil when Eedd and kenter may not be present (e.g., wetland resonance, mitigation, creation, construction), 2) Evidence the corrent barbonic hydras strain, of a soil (e.g., changes an hydrology); and (1) Propose shanges (in creating hydras and indicative (i.g., exprinding proceedings) and (2) Propose shanges (in creating hydras and indicative (i.g., exprinding proceeding to of application, revision to technical expression).

The following sections describe the HSTS and provide guidance regarding the collection and information of data to the National Technical Commutee for Hydric Solis (NTCHS, so, validate that a with the new the HSTS. The submission of claim, consiste this to the NTCHS promotes tabilitated accuracy transportancy and efficient decision maining in support of hydric and and wetland resource animogeneous. This focusing data depending on specific anyonesences. Now even, the NTCHS may require indifficual supporting data depending on specific anyonesences.

TECHNICAL STANDARD: The HSTS was developed and approved by the NTCHS and provide a method to deamonstruct the a soil concently meets the definition of a byfur soil. Hydroouth are defined as said "distinct table exclusions of documents of theorem at byfur soil. Hydroouth are defined as said "distinct tables conditions of association of the larger part" (Federal Registra 1994). As a result, the HSTS requires possible of the anterobic conditions and 21 out estimated in where it is conversion days for most soils, or for 2 consecutive days for for atom of 10 minute days for Vertweld in Dorasian and Texes 3) during normal mutual methods used in accelute and the anterobic and entry. The following section generates the redunced requirements for anterobic conditions and will duranteen.

- Americki: conditions The HS15 provides for three methods to document assemblic conditions in the soil.
 - 10 Induction of Reduction in Sold (IRUS) tabes

A minimum of three sit five Indicator of Reduction in Soil (IRBS) where name have itt persent why summored from a zone 1% on (6 m) or more fuels. The zone of remoted must began within 1% and of the soil outline (6 out 16 of retrieve).

b). Ortidation-reduction patiential (Eb) means ments using planning electrolity.

A minimum of three of five plotname electrodes must have messimements of Eu = 17.9 say, at pH 7. Et is subjected for pH on a line with a slope of negative 60 (Figure 1). Soli pH messacement must be collected mostly each time at Eu messimement or major the true to the solutions of one of the plotname electrodes. Electrodes should be mobiled at 25 cm (10 m) for most sole. (2.5 cm (5 m) in mostly intermed colls, and 10 cm (4 m) in solution are filtered at provided and typically do not summing a general colls.



Purpose

- 1. Identify a hydric soil when a field indicator may not be present
 - Problem soil (soils that are hydric but lack an indicator)
 - Wetland restoration or creation (young soils)
- 2. Evaluate the current functional hydric soil status of a soil
- 3. Propose additions or changes to existing hydric soil indicators



Hydric Soil Definition

A soil that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part.



Anaerobic Conditions

 Indicator of Reduction in Soils (IRIS) Tubes
 Oxidation-Reduction Potential (Eh) Measurements Using Platinum Electrodes

- 3. Alpha-Alpha-Dipyridyl Dye
 - Liquid dye
 - Strips



IRIS tubes

Minimum of 3 of 5 tubes must have 30% iron removed from a zone 15 cm or more thick. The zone of removal must begin within 15 cm of the surface for all soil textures.





Eh measurements



A minimum of 3 of 5 platinum electrodes must have Eh measurements of <175 mv at pH 7. Eh threshold is adjusted for pH on a line with a slope of -60. pH measurements are required when using Eh measurements.

United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service



Eh Threshold for Anaerobic Conditions



- Installation depth
 - 12.5 cm in sandy textured soils
 - 10 cm in soils that are flooded or ponded and typically do not saturate
 - 25 cm for all other soils



Alpha-Alpha-Dipyridyl Dye

A positive reaction to dye must occur within 60% or more of a layer in least 2 of 3 samples.



United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service



Alpha-Alpha-Dipyridyl Requirements



- Reaction must occur
 - within a 5 cm layer in upper 10 cm in soils that inundate but don't saturate
 - a 6.25 cm layer of the upper 12.5 cm in sandy textured soils
 - 10 cm of the upper 30 cm in all other soils



Saturated Conditions

- Saturation measures at 25 cm for 14 consecutive days with a piezometer or shallow well in most soils.
 - Vertisols in LA and TX require saturation for at least 7 consecutive and 18 cumulative days.





On-site Precipitation Data

 On-site precipitation data is needed to evaluate whether the data was collected in a normal, wetter than normal, or drier than normal year.



Data Requirements



 Should utilize data collected at paired hydric/nonhydric locations to demonstrate that hydric conditions exist within the hydric location and are absent in the nonhydric location.



Replicates

 A minimum of three paired hydric/nonhydric study areas at different locations are required for consideration of additions or changes to Field Indicators.



Data Requirements at Each Location

- Soil description
- Evidence that the soil meets or fail the HSTS
- Analysis of rainfall normality



Soil Description

	cription: (Describe	to the dap	th needed to docu	nent the	indicator	or confirm	n the absence	of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix	10.00	Redo	x Feature	5			
Inches)	Color (moist)	- %	Color (moist)		Type	LDC ²	Texture	Remarks
1-2	10YR 3/1	50		-			loampiciayey	50% uncoated sand grains
2-5	10YR 3/1	97	7.5 YR 4/3	3	C	PL/M	loamy/ictayey	
i-8	10YR 4/2	90	7.5YR 5/8	10	C	PL/M	loamy/clayey	
3-12	2.5Y 5/3	70	10YR 5/6	15	C	PL/M	ioamyiiclayey	10YR 4/2 15% organic coated sand grains
ype: C=C ydric Soll Histosol Histosol Histosol Black H Hydroge Strattle Organic 5 cm Mi Muck Pi 1 cm Mi Deplete Thick D Coast P Sandy M	oncentration, D-Dep Indicators: (Applic I (A1) pipeton (A2) isito (A3) en Sutifice (A4) d Layers (A5) Bodies (A6) (LRR P ucky Mineral (A7) (LJ resence (A8) (LRR P, T) d Below Dark Surfac ark Surface (A12) traine Redox (A16) (I Mucky Mineral (S1) (I Soved Mathy (SA)	nebon, Rwi able to all T, T, U) RR P, T, U) I) e (A11) MLRA 1500 LRR O, S)	Heduced Marinx, M LRRs, unless othe Polyvalue Be Thin Dark Ss Loamy Mucal Loamy Mucal Loamy Mucal Depleted Ma Redox Dark Depleted Da Redox Depre Mari (F10) (I Depleted Oc Iron-Mangan A) Umbric Surfa Detta Ochric Fertureet Ve	S-Maske rwise no elow Suffa inface (SS y Mineral ad Matrix fittx (F3) Sufface (SSI statace (SSI sufface (SSI (F17) [M (F17) [M (F17) [M	d sand Gr ted.) ace (S3) (I)) (LRR S, (F1) (LRF S, (F2) F6) e (F7) F8) (MLRA 1 (MLRA 1 (LRR P, T LRA 151) (MLRA 151) (MLRA 151)	ans. RR 5, T, 1 T, U) EO) 51) LRR O, P, , U)	Uccaoon: Indicators U) 1 cm N 2 cm N Reduc Piedm Anom: (NUL Red P Very S Other 	PL+Fore Lining, M-MainX. for Problematic Hydric Solis ¹ : Muck (A9) (LRR 0) Muck (A10) (LRR 3) sed Verlic (F18) (outside MLRA 150A,6 iont Floodpiain Solis (F19) (LRR P, S, T alous Bright Loamy Solis (F20) RA 1538) arent Material (TF2) Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) (Explain in Remarks) sators of hydrophytic vegetation and land hydrology must be present, ess disturbed or problematic.



Soil Description

Table 1. Example of soil descriptions at paired hydric (H) and adjacent nonhydric (N) sites examining high chroma sandy soils in Michigan, USA (NRCS 2010; Berkowitz and Sallee 2011). All redox concentrations were distinct or prominent; PL = pore lining, M = matrix. Note that the example provides data from one paired hydric/nonhydric location; multiple locations are required for hydric soil field indicator development or revision (Berkowitz and Noble 2014).

Site	Layer	Depth (cm)	Matrix color	Matrix (%)	Redox (%)	Type and location	Texture	Field indicator
	1	0-10	10YR 4.5/2	100			Sandy	None
High chroma1(H)	2	10-20	10YR 4.5/3	97	3	PL/M	Sandy	
	3	20-50	10YR 5/3	97	3	PL/M	Sandy	
High chroma1(N)	1	0-10	10YR 6/3	100			Sandy	None
	2	10-50	10YR 5/3	100			Sandy	



Photographs





Evidence the Soil Meets HSTS

• For most soil saturation must occur for 14 consecutive days.

United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service



Does not meet HSTS

Meets HSTS







Anaerobic Conditions

 Must also meet anaerobic conditions threshold measured by IRIS tubes, alpha-alpha dipyridyl, or direct measurements of Eh for 14 consecutive days when the soils are also saturated. United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service



Iris Tube Evaluation







IRIS Tubes

Table 2. Example of IRIS tube data from one paired hydric (H)/non-hydric (N) study location.											
						Zone Top	of Removal (cm) Length				
Site	Tube#	Installation date	Removal date	# Days	% Removed		Longer	>30% Removal	Notes		
Н	H1	2/1/2013	3/15/2013	42	95	10	35	Yes			
Н	H2	2/1/2013	3/15/2013	42	50	18	27	No	removal begins below 15 cm		
Η	H3	2/1/2013	3/15/2013	42	70	10	35	Yes			
Н	H4	2/1/2013	3/15/2013	42	45	12	33	Yes			
Η	H5	2/1/2013	3/15/2013	42	20	12	33	No	<30% removal		
Hydric Site 1 3/5 Meets Anaerol								Meets Anaerobic			
Ν	N1	2/1/2013	3/15/2013	42	10	20	10	No	<30% removal		
Ν	N2	2/1/2013	3/15/2013	42	20	25	20	No	<30% removal		
N	N3	2/1/2013	3/15/2013	42	25	25	20	No	<30% removal		
N	N4	2/1/2013	3/15/2013	42	30	28	17	No	removal begins below 15 cm		
Ν	N5	2/1/2013	3/15/2013	42	15	25	20	No	<30% removal		
Nonhydric	Site 1						0/5	Fails Anae	erobic		

Nonhydric Site 1



Alpha-alpha-dipyridyl Dye





Alpha-alpha-dipyridyl Dye

Table 3. Example of summary data indicating anaerobic conditions, saturated conditions,										
and HSTS results collected at paired hydric and nonhydric study locations.										
		Parameter	r	Summary						
	IRIS Tubes with >30%	aa- dipyridyl dye	Consecutive Days of	Anaerobic	Saturated					
Study Area	Removal	reaction	Saturation	Conditions	Conditions	HSTS				
Hydric site 1	4/5	Yes	26	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Nonhydric site 1	1/5	No	5	No	No	No				
Hydric site 2	5/5	Yes	89	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Nonhydric site 2	2/5	No	15	No	Yes	No				
Hydric site 3	3/5	Yes	37	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Nonhydric site 3	0/5	No	8	No	Yes	No				



Direct Measurements of Eh





Sample Data for Eh

Table 4. Example of data from five Pt electrodes at paired hydric (H) and non-hydric (N) study sites. One of the five probes at N1 displayed anaerobic conditions and would fail to meet the anaerobic conditions requirements of the HSTS; H1 displayed three of five probes with anaerobic conditions. H1 would meet the anaerobic conditions requirement of the HSTS for that date. *Reference electrode type: Ag/AgCl correction factor +197

				Reference Probe		Required for Reduction	
Date	Site	Replicate Probe #	Reading (mV)	Correction* (mV)	Soil pH	(595- 60*(pH))	Reduced
01/28/09	N1	1	176	373	6.2	223	No
01/28/09	N1	2	302	499	6.2	223	No
01/28/09	N1	3	163	360	6.2	223	No
01/28/09	N1	4	70	267	6.2	223	No
01/28/09	N1	5	306	503	6.2	223	No
01/28/09	H1	1	33	230	5.51	264.4	Yes
01/28/09	H1	2	95	292	5.51	264.4	No
01/28/09	H1	3	-15	182	5.51	264.4	Yes
01/28/09	H1	4	-89	108	5.51	264.4	Yes
01/28/09	H1	5	154	351	5.51	264.4	No



Direct Antecedent Rainfall Evaluation Method (DAREM)

- Obtain 30th and 70th percentile from NRCS WETS tables
- Document monthly on-site rainfall measurements
- 1=drier than normal; 2=normal; 3=wetter than normal
- Weight by month and multiply
- Add three months prior to measurements
- 6-9=dry; 10-14=normal;15-18=wet



Normal Precipitation Analysis

		WETS	WETS						
Prior		30th	70th	Measured		Condition	Month		
Month	Name	percentile	percentile	Rainfall	Condition	Value	Weight	Score	Result
Baldwin Co.		Weather sta	tion						
3rd	Sept	2.89	7.04	5.01	Normal	2	1	2	
2nd	Oct	1.08	3.74	3.2	Normal	2	2	4	
most									
recent	Nov	3.24	6.25	0.89	Dry	1	3	3	Dry
Month									
examined	Dec						Total	9	
Baldwin Co		Weather sta	tion		7				
3rd	Oct	1.6	4.11	4.28	Wet	3	1	3	
	1	1	1				1.0.000.000		
2nd	Nov	3.15	6.2	1.47	Dry	1	2	2	
most							1		
recent	Dec	4.03	6.53	8.82	Wet	3	3	9	
Month									
examined	Jan						Total	14	Normal



When HSTS is Reliable

- If HSTS is met during wetter than normal period, study must be extended to ensure that the site will also meet standard during normal year
- If HSTS is no met during dryer than normal period, study must be extended to ensure site will not meet standard during normal year



Summary

- The HSTS provides a quantitative method for evaluating whether a soil meets the definition of a hydric soil through the evaluation of the occurrence of saturated and anaerobic conditions under normal precipitation.
- The HSTS will not identify hydric soils that formed under conditions of saturation, ponding, or flooding but no longer exhibit these characteristics due to hydrologic alteration.



Summary

- The HSTS can be used to:
 - Identify young hydric soils or problematic hydric soils where field indicators are not present
 - Evaluate the functional hydric soil status
 - With replication propose changes to existing field indicators or additional indicators



HSTS Tech. Note 11 Location

 http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/m ain/soils/use/hydric/