



conservation strategies group

Tom Bernthal & Nick Miller



Co-authors: Joanne Kline, John Wagner, Chris Smith, Max Axler, Matt Matrise, Michele Kille, Matt Silveira, Patricia Moran, Sally Gallagher Jarosz, Josh Brown



Who is this for?

Land Trusts Local governments Wetland Consultants **Planners (Counties, RPC's) Nutrient Management Specialists Mitigation regulators & project sponsors** Wildlife & Natural Resource Managers **Universities & Extensions** Watershed Planners **Private Businesses** Lake Associations

Potential Applications

Watershed plans Grant proposals **Conservation planning Outreach & education** Site selection, assessment, and design Local & regional Comprehensive Plans Nutrient trading & Adaptive Management Siting natural infrastructure (e.g., for flood control) Lake management plans (incl. shoreline protection) Nutrient management planning Wetland service valuation Habitat improvement Prioritizing projects Research



Overview

- Examples: Decision Support Tool
- Methods
 - Watershed Assessment
 - Site Assessment
 - Wetland Wildlife Assessment



Why a Watershed Approach?







WbD Approach Overview: Sites & Opportunities



Protection Opportunities

• DNR Wisconsin Wetland Inventory

Restoration Opportunities

• DNR Potentially Restorable Wetlands v3



WbD Approach Overview: Watershed 'Needs' Step 1

Wetland Map Data

- Wetland location/extent
- Wetland types
- Water regime
- etc.





Watershed Context Data

- Landscape Position
- Landform
- Waterbody type
- Waterflow path



Ecosystem Services

Flood Abatement Fish & Aquatic Habitat Sediment Retention Nutrient Transformation Surface Water Supply

WbD Approach Overview: Watershed 'Needs' Step 2



WbD Approach Overview: Site Ranks (Service Potential)

Example: Flood abatement



Water Quality

- Nitrogen Reduction
- Phosphorus reduction
- Sediment Reduction
 Shoreline protection
 Fish & aquatic habitat
 Surface water supply
 Carbon storage
 Floristic Integrity

WbD Overview: Wetland Wildlife Habitat

Forest Interior Guild



Shallow Marsh Guild





Shrub Swamp Guild



Open Waters Guild











Overview
 Evamplas: Decision Supp

Examples: Decision Support Tool

- Methods
 - Watershed Assessment
 - Site Assessment

Wetland Wildlife Assessment

Results: Watershed Service Losses



Chippewa River 6-digit HUC

Red Cedar River 8-digit HUC

South Fork Hay River 10-digit HUC

Tiffany Creek 12-digit HUC

Which Major River Basin (6-digit Hydrologic Unit) do I want to work in?

Wetlands by Design: A Watershed Approach Wisconsin's Waters, Wetlands and Watersheds





The Upper Illinois has only two 8-digit Hydrologic Units The Upper Fox Basin has lost the most services

Wetlands by Design: A Watershed Approach Wisconsin's Waters, Wetlands and Watersheds





Links to simple descriptions and more in-depth information



Details on Concepts and Methods in Report

WHY I CARTANT MAN JULY UNIT IN A THE AT AN ADDRESS THE Cit to anno with marks is and attended. Westands should be the Winner on Welland Transford Database naccing are excluded here the PWV layer.

6.5. Insert controlled rands and opportunities engage conversiond Multiplicative families poternial access waters hads

The basis of a materiabal monopresent plan is an accounter, of material woods and opportunities, O matricipant of mate island anni uses method here and alteration, is been of settlend services, on a setter the fash ar endtiple scales

The method strateg providual of the meters' lands as was compared with that of the textucape of the well (800) when the original wetlands were intact. The difference between the provision of current and interkal-yedanil arridos indicatos Percional datable," or "somewhat reads," Watershed extention every viteral archeduration indented to must be a need.

We compared carried and balantical average time watershed assiss using the hierarchy developed for the <u>Watershed</u> in antice <u>Server</u>. We share the R

what data include the aps, shape and beater of a entional, and using a type that describes its expetation and hydrologia regime.¹⁷ WH data, considered damp with additional data about the someoning landscape, are offer sufficient for a functional assessment of an infrated worked, but they its set alive matrices. platting and entertied, or access any large

devilences of introduct vortants, the face is that devide the protect of the vector first of behavior. in consuming to subschool as and to other wedlands. ied the directional flow of water at influence a retard's drifty to provide accurate arvives Figure 61. The result of adding there budy approximation

WARE In larged on the approach Oh Hide and Window Service (1.5) Wei developed and applied to the Kertenal Welland in contacts IVAU to create its retranced

interview local study to provide the imported

attributes to the WWH is an extransed WW, or Needers Water that Antoneous Layor (WWM)

warfaced dataset, Well Place, Attributes in WWHL, largered threar in WWHL, described

· Lendscape position or the relation of a

welland to a waterhooty Landform or the advocal she



Within the Upper Fox Basin, which 10 digit Watersheds have the least/most loss of services?



	Evaluate a known site
Explain Each Section	View Report
Selected Watersheds:	
Full Extent - Hover over names to	see HU code
HUC 6 Watershed: Upper Illinois	
HUC 8 Watershed: Upper Fox	
Choose Service to Compar	re Watersheds:
Combined Services (1)	Sediment Retention
Flood Abatement	Nutrient Transformation
Fish and Aquatic Habitat	Surface Water Supply
Opaque O	Transparent
Range of Services: (Curren	ntly selected: Combined Services)
Gombined Services. Le	dol Lubo
Flood Abatement: Leas	st Loss
	at Least Loss
Fish and Aquatic Habita	at. Beast Boss
Fish and Aquatic Habita	east Loss
Fish and Aquatic Habita Sediment Retention: Lo Nutrient Transformatio	east Loss
Fish and Aquatic Habita Sediment Retention: Lo Nutrient Transformatio Surface Water Supply:	east Loss n: Least Loss Least Loss



Within the Mukwonago River Watershed which 12-digit Sub-watersheds have the least/most service loss?

Wetlands by Design: A Watershed Approach wisconsin's waters, wetlands and watersheds





Mukwonago River 12-digit Sub-Watershed has the most loss, where are some large Potentially Restorable Wetlands areas to examine?

Wetlands by Design: A Watershed Approach Wisconsin's Waters, Wetlands and Watersheds

≡





Zoom in to look at several clusters, choose which to look at closer

Wetlands by Design: A Watershed Approach Wisconsin's Waters, Wetlands and Watersheds





Wetlands are providing services at the highest levels

Pick another promising area



Zoom in:

= Wetlands by Design: A Watershed Ap A Get Started Wattands and Watersheds Explorer 0 _ × What would you like to do? Regional Planning Search for a new site Contents a impact site i de Explanatione View 8 Count of Services 4 High 10 Nill open Robustion Flood Abatement **Durlace Nale: Doorly** Fight and Associate Habitat Monthly Projection Programs Retention Calkor Bosspr **Continuent Parlow** lines **Horabe integrity** tongut 🔵 Transport View Metland Mildlife Habitat: Range of Services: (Currently selected: Count of Services ≥ High) Current Walland ID: 68425771126 Wetland Aren: 126 serves Count of Services 2 High: 7-9 Fined Abstemant: Very High High and Aquatic Habitat, very High Phospheres Balantise: Very High Sectionant Balantine: Mich Mages Relation: Very High E Gartace Water Supply: Wary High Showline Presector: Mederate Carbon Monage: Vwy High **Nable Maple High**

PRWs used to provide high service levels



Watershed Services shown, but what about wildlife habitat?

Map Legend





Wetland Wildlife Habitat Assessment – All Guilds







Wetlands by Design A Watershed Approach for Wisconsin

Wetlands & Watersheds Explorer





Shrub Swamp Guild

Another look at PRW Wildlife Habitat Benefits: how many guilds will restoration benefit?



Search for a new site	Evaluate a known site
Explain Each Section	View F
Selected Watersheds: Full Extent - <i>Hover over names to s</i> HUC 6 Watershed: Upper Illinois HUC 8 Watershed: Upper Fox HUC 10 Watershed: Mukwonago HUC 12 Watershed: Mukwonago	see HU code River River
Choose Service to Compar	e Sites:
Ocument of Services ≥ High	Nitrogen Reduction
Flood Abatement	Surface Water Supply
Fish and Aquatic Habitat	Shoreline Protection
O Phosphorus Retention	Carbon Storage
Sediment Retention	Floristic Integrity
Opaque	Transparent
View Wetland Wildlife Hab	itat:
All Guilds	Shallow Marsh Guild
Forest Interior Guild	Open Waters Guild
Shrub Swamp Guild	
All-Guild Restoration Opp	ortunities 🛞
Opaque 🔵	Transparent
Range of Services: (Currer Services)	atly selected: Combined
Current Wetland ID: 68423	701265





2		10 Loca
Evaluate a known site		
	View Report	
re Sites:		
itat:		
Shallow Marsh Guild		
Open Waters Guild		hab all the fact
		Carl Star
oortunities 🕦		
Transparent		
701265		
lh: 7-9	_	
High		
at: Very High		A GA
Very High		
igh		
ery High		
Very High		THE NE
Noderate		Martin State
High		







Overview

- Examples: Decision Support Tool
 Methods
 - Watershed Assessment

• Site Assessment

Wetland Wildlife Assessment

Determining Watershed The Nature Needs Using LLWW Classification

- Landscape Position: Lentic, Lotic, Terrene
- Landform: Floodplain, Fringe, Basin, Flat, Slope
- Waterflow Path: Inflow, Outflow, Throughflow, Bi-directional; entrenched, artificial modifiers can be added
- Waterbody: River, Stream, Lake, Pond, NA,
- Scalable from HUC-12 to HUC-6
- Functional Matrix
 - Assign High and Moderate levels to functions based on LLWW type



WWI to LLWW Classification via incredibly complicated GIS Models

		~ •					
FCOS	istem	Service	Corre	lations	Flood	Aha	tement

Service Level	Wetland type Descriptions	11)W/W or W/W/ Code Inclusions	LLWW or WWI Code
Service Lever	Wettand type Descriptions		Exclusions
High	Vegetated lentic and lotic wetlands	LE***, LR***, LS****	*SL**, ***IS
	Island wetlands	IL**	TEBA*BI
	Ponds, terrene basin and terrene flat wetlands that	**PDIN, **PDTH, **PDTI,	TEFP*, TEFF*, TEFR*
	have inflow, throughflow, or intermittent	TEBA*IN, TEBA*TH, TEBA*TI,	TEFL*OU, TEFL*OI, TEFL*CI
	throughflow	TEFL*IN, TEFL*TH, TEFL*TI	WWI Class = F, unvegetated
			flats
Moderate	Wetlands with artificial throughflow	***TA	
	Wetlands associated with an entrenched stream or	****en	
	river		
	Terrene basin wetlands with connection	TEBA*CI, TEBA*OU, TEBA*OI	
	intermittent	WWI Class = W, open water	
	Open water wetlands (except Ponds that are ranked	wetlands	
	"High")		

C.3.1.1 Flood Abatement

Storing floodwater reduces the extent of downstream flooding and lowers flood heights, both of which reduce damage from flooding events. All wetlands store some flood water. Here we identify those wetland types that perform a substantial level of flood abatement. These include wetlands along streams and rivers that can hold excess water until the stream or river can regain its capacity to move this excess water downstream. Wetlands with dense vegetation help to reduce water flow velocity. Ponds that are not artificially drained also provide this service. These depressions collect storm water runoff from adjacent lands, which prevents the water from flooding surrounding areas.

A 4 step GIS based process •Step 1 - Start with Existing Information •Wetland mapping (WI Wetland Inventory and Potentially Restorable Wetlands)

Step 2 – Add new informationLandscape Position/Landform/WaterbodyType/Waterflow Path

Step 3 – Correlate LLWW to functions Existing Info + New Info = **Predicted Wetland Functions**

Step 4 – Calculate watershed needs

- Reductions of wetland area for each function
- Historic (PRW) Current = Watershed Needs

Historic Wetland Extent

- No wetland impacts
- Baseflow Support
- Fish & Aquatic Habitat

Current Wetland Extent

- Carbon Storage:
- tiled / diched / drained
- Flood Abatement:
- filled for development

Watershed Needs =

- Highest Need
 - Carbon Storage
- Moderate Need
 - Flood Abatement

Results: Watershed Service Losses

Village

Comparison of the relative need of the subwatersheds nested within a watershed

retailus by besign. A materialeu Approach mistaila	
Wetlands and Watersheds Explorer O _ ×	North Branch Milwaukee River Streets V
What would you like to do?	
Search for a new site Evaluate a known site	
xplain Each Section View Report	a south the state
Full Extent - Hover over names to see HU code HUC 6 Watershed: Silver Creek-Milwaukee River HUC 8 Watershed: Milwaukee	Fond to Lac
Choose Service to Compare Watersheds:	Sheboygan
O Combined Services (1) Sediment Retention	
Flood Abatement Nutrient Transformation	
Fish and Aquatic Habitat Surface Water Supply	
Opaque Contransparent	LE KING AND
View Wetland Wildlife Habitat:	
All Guilds Shallow Marsh Guild	
Forest Interior Guild Open Waters Guild	
Shrub Swamp Guild	
All-Guild Restoration Opportunities	Charles and the The The
Opaque 🖉 🌒 Transparent	CLA MARKANS &
Range of Services; (Currently selected; Combined Services)	ilwaukee
Combined Services: Moderate Loss	Map Legend
Flood Abatement: Moderate Loss	HUC - 10 - Boundary
Fish and Aquatic Habitat: Moderate Loss	HUC10 - Combined Services
Sediment Retention: Moderate Loss	Least Loss
Nutrient Transformation: Moderate Loss	Moderate Loss
Surface Water Supply: Least Loss	Most Loss
~	10mi Ken
()	ESIL, T

• HU 8s within HU 6; 10s within 8s, 12s within 10s

• Based on ES the watershed has lost

Overview

- Examples: Decision Support Tool
- Methods
 - Watershed Assessment
 - Site Assessment
 - Wetland Wildlife Assessment

GIS Rapid Assessment Method (GISRAM)

Site Ranks (Service Potential)

Example: Flood abatement

Water Quality

- Nitrogen Reduction
- Phosphorus reduction
- Sediment Reduction
 Shoreline protection
 Fish & aquatic habitat
 Surface water supply
 Carbon storage
 Floristic Integrity

Opportunity Effectiveness Social significance

LLWW – A key input for GIS RAM

Appendix C. GIS Rapid Assessment Methodology (GISRAM)

GIS-RA	GIS-RAM: Flood Abatement (FA)										
Code	Criterion	Rationale	Asses Reso	Assessment Resource		Assessment Resource		Unit of Analysis		Datasets	GIS-based Criterion
			Wetland	PRW	Polygon	Complex	Catchment				
FA_01	Site is connected to a lake, stream, or river, OR receives concentrated inflow and/or outflow or is connected through an existing wetland to outflow. This criterion is necessary to the service.	Runoff accumulated at a point or channel contributes to more stream flow during storm events. Wetlands connected to streams can help to slow floodwaters.	x	x		x		WWI/PRW Plus	LLWW Functional Significance is High or Moderate = YES		
FA_02	Local topography near a site includes steep slopes.	Steep slopes contribute to rapid runoff and increased stream flow during storm events. Wetlands below these slopes will intercept and slow more stormwater runoff and floodwater.	x	x			x	WWI/PRW, WI DNR 24k VA dataset,	Slopes within the site's catchment exceed the median slope value for the WHUC 10 = YES		
FA_03	Site is in a catchment with high runoff potential.	Land cover and soil type in the catchment determine runoff volume.	x	x		x	x	WWI/PRW Plus, WDNR 24K Hydrography Value Added	Site is in a catchment whose runoff Curve Number value exceeds the median Curve Number value for the WHUC 10 = YES		
FA_E2	Dominant vegetation of site is dense and persistent.	Dense wetland vegetation impedes water flow. Persistent vegetation (e.g. woody plants, robust persistent emergent species) can provide this service even outside of the growing season.	x		x			wwi	Forest, scrub-shrub and persistent emergent marsh wetland types, with modified wetlands (f, g, v and x) excluded = YES		
FA_E3	Site is in a topographic depression or floodplain setting.	Floodplain wetlands store floodwaters temporarily after storms.	x	x	x			WWI/PRW Plus Active River Area	Landform = BA, FR, FF, FP, and inside ARA = YES		
FA_E4	Internal flow path distance within a site.	The longer the flow path within the site, the greater the friction that will slow water movement.	x	x		x		WWI/PRW Plus, WDNR 24K Hydrography Geodatabase	Length of a site's snoreline interface exceeds the WHUC10 non-zero median interface length, with entrenched and artificial waterways excluded = YES		

Flood Abatement: Site Example A

Code	O,E,S	Criterion	1=YES, 0=NO			
		Site is connected to a lake, stream, or river, OR receives				
A_01	0	concentrated inflow and/or outflow or is connected through	1			
		an existing wetland to outflow.				
A_02	0	Steep slopes in catchment	0			
A_03	0	Runoff potential of catchment	0			
A_E2	E	Dominant vegetation of site is dense and persistent	1			
A_E3	E	Site is in a topographic depression or floodplain setting	1			
A_E4	E4 E Internal flow path distance within site					
A_E5	E5 E Ratio of catchment area to site area		1			
A_E9	E	1				
A_S1	Site outflow contributes to downstream economically valuable flood-prone areas		0			
		O-E Score (sum of O+E answered 'yes' / # of O+E questions)	0.75			
		O-E-S Score (add +0.1 for each S answered 'yes')	0.75			
		Size Factor (1, 1.5, 2)	2			
	Site Score (O-E-S Score * Size Factor)					
		GISRAM Rank (1 = Very High, Top Third within HUC12)	1 (Very High)			
		WISRAM (Field) Rank	1 (Very High)			

Flood Abatement: Site Example B

Code	O,E,S	Criterion	1=YES, 0=NO
		Site is connected to a lake, stream, or river, OR receives	
A_01 0	0	concentrated inflow and/or outflow or is connected	1
		through an existing wetland to outflow.	
A_02	0	Steep slopes in catchment	1
A_03	0	Runoff potential of catchment	0
FA_E2	Е	Dominant vegetation of site is dense and persistent	1
FA_E3	Е	Site is in a topographic depression or floodplain setting	1
FA_E4	E4 E Internal flow path distance within site		1
FA_E5	E5 E Ratio of catchment area to site area		1
FA_E9	Е	Stream order associated with site connection	0
		Site outflow contributes to downstream economically	4
FA_SI	5	valuable flood-prone areas	
		O-E Score (sum of O+E answered 'yes' / # of O+E questions)	0.75
		O-E-S Score (add +0.1 for each S answered 'yes')	0.85
		Size Factor (1, 1.5, 2)	2
	Site Score (Raw Score * Size Factor)	1.7	
		GISRAM Rank (1 = Very High, Top Third within HUC12)	1 (Very High)
		WISRAM (Field) Rank	2 (High)

Floristic Integrity: Site Example C

ode	O,E,S	Criterion	1=YES, 0=NO	
<u></u> 01	0	Site is vegetated	1	
<u></u> 2_02	0	Site does not have documented invasives	0	
Σ_ 03	0	Site receives groundwater discharge	0	
<u>_</u> 04	0	Catchment is largely composed of natural cover	0	
λ _02	0	Site not within invasives dispersal zone	0	
L_07	O7 O Site recognized as high quality plant community			
2_E1	E	Site buffer is composed of natural land cover	0	
		O-E Score (sum of O+E answered 'yes' / # of O+E	0.14	
		questions)	0.14	
		O-E-S Score (add +0.1 for each S answered 'yes')	NA (0.14)	
		Size Factor (1, 1.5, 2)	NA	
		Site Score (Raw Score * Size Factor)	0.14	
		GISRAM Rank (3 = Moderate, Bottom 1/3 in HUC12)	3 (Moderate)	
		WISRAM (Field) Rank	1 (Very High)	

GIS RAM Field Validation

Flood Abatement

Floristic Quality

Overview

- Examples: Decision Support Tool
- Methods
 - Watershed Assessment
 - Site Assessment

Wetland Wildlife Assessment

		w					
	Land Cover Types	Open Water	Shallow Marsh	Shrub Swamp	Forest Interior		
	Urban/Developed, high intensity	0	0	0	0		
	Urban/Developed, low intensity	0	0	0	0		
	Grasslands and Pasture	0	2*	0	0		
	Forest, evergreen	0	0	0	1*		
UPLAND	Forest, deciduous	0	0	0	1*		
	Forest, mixed	0	0	0	1*		
	Shrub Land (not shrub-carr)	0	0	0	0		
	Cultivated Land	0	0	0	0		
	Surface Water, rivers	0	1	0	0		
WATER	Surface Water, lakes	3	1	0	0		
	Open Water Wetlands	1*	3	0	0		
	Aquatic Bed/Deep Marsh	3	3	0	0		
	Shallow Marsh <= 5 acres	2*	3	0	0		
	Shallow Marsh > 5 acres	3*	3	0	0	Guild	
	Wetland Meadows	1*	3	2*	0	Open Water	-
	Wetland Forest, broad leaved	0	2*	0	3#*		
WEILAND	Wetland Forest, coniferous	0	2*	0	3#*	Shallow	
	Wetland Forest, mixed	0	2*	0	3#	Marsh	_
	Shrub Bog, evergreen	0	0	2*	0	Shrub Swamp	
	Shrub-carr, deciduous	0	2*	3	2#*	Forest	
	Cultivated flat	0	0	0	0	Interior	
	Natural flats	0	0	0	0		
	Reed canarygrass	0	1	0	0		
SPECIAL TYPES	Cattail	2*	3	0	0		
	Road corridor	1	1	1	1		

Wetland Wildlife Assessment

Guilds

Open Water Shallow Marsh Shrub Swamp Forest Interior

Landcovers

Wetland types Upland types Open waters

'Proximity' (Landscape) Factors

0	Guild	Primary Habitat Selection	Additional Primary Habitat	Ancillary Habitat (*) Selection
0	Open Water	Combine all rank 3 land	(#) for Porest interior Guild	Selected Bank 1* and 2* cover
3#*	open mater	cover types.		within 100 m of primary habitat is
3#*	Shallow	Combine all rank 3 land		Selected Rank 2* cover within 100 m
3#	Marsh	cover types.		of primary habitat is added.
0	Shrub Swamp	Combine all rank 3 land cover types.		Selected Rank 2* cover within 100 m of primary habitat is added.
2#*	Forest	Combine all rank 3 land	Patches of rank 2 and 3	Selected Rank 1* and 2* cover
0	Interior	cover types. Combined patches must be	cover types less than 75 ha if forest cover within 1 km	within 100 m of primary habitat is added.
0		>75 ha.	of the patch is greater than	
0			50%.	
0				

Wetland Wildlife Habitat

Aerial View

Shallow Marsh Guild

Shrub Swamp Guild

Forest Interior Guild

Open Water Guild

All Guilds & Restoration Opportunities

www.WetlandsByDesign.org

Report

Wetlands & Watersheds Explorer

Webinar training

www.WetlandsByDesign.org

Tom Bernthal Thomas.Bernthal@wisconsin.gov 608-266-3033 Nick Miller nmiller@tnc.org 608-333-2155