UMassAmherst



Using Remote Sensing Techniques to Assess and Monitor Salt Marsh Condition in Massachusetts

Threats to Salt Marshes

- Coastal Development
- Invasive species (nutria, green crabs, Phragmites)
- Freshwater intrusion
- Tidal restrictions
- Sea level rise
- Nutrient enrichment
- Sediment starvation
- Crab herbivory/trophic cascade
- Salt marsh die back

Assessing Salt Marsh Condition & Vulnerability to SLR

Access is difficult

Difficult to collect data at all stages in the tide cycle Timing of aerial photos & satellite data UnVegetated to Vegetated Ratio (UVVR) Unoccupied Aerial Systems (drones & sensors)

- Subtle changes in vegetative composition
- Water content of marsh peat
- Peat density
- Plant stress



Combination of remote sensing and ground truthing to comprehensively assess salt marsh condition







Objectives

- □ Create an automated classification model
 - Vegetation
 - Water features
 - Bare ground
- Assess salt marsh condition
 - Identify areas of degradation
 - Identify areas of stress
 - Characterized tidal hydrology
- Protocol and tools that can used by researchers & practitioners
 - Condition assessment
 - Assist in planning and implementation of conservation action
 - Monitor responses to natural disturbance and ecological restoration



Tools in the toolbox: UAS platforms



DJI Mataka 600 Pro Hanapapian-Tront

DJI Matrice 600 Pro (Carries heavier sensor payload)



DJI 210 (Carries medium sensor payload)



Visible Blue, Green, Red RedEdge Near Infrared (NIR)



Shortwave Infrared

MicaSense RedEdge UAS Spectral Resolution Red Edge Neer-Infrared 50% Reflectance Healthy Plant 40% Stressed Plant 30% Plant Blue Band 1 20% Typical 10% 0% 400 450 500 550 600 650 700 750 800 850 900 Green Band 2 Wavelength (nm) Non-Visible Light Band 3 Red SWIR Water Spectrum Water has its own SWIR 0.8 spectrum that mixes Band4 NIR with mineral spectra unbound water 0.6 1806 Reflectance **SWIR** Band 5 **SWIR** Band 7 1461 0.2-1950 1400 1600 2000 2200 2400 1800 Wavelength in nm

UAS

South River (South Shore)



Rededge

SWIR

(calculated)

Flight Information

- Receive permissions from nearby airports, land owners, and conservation commissions, MassWildlife & USFWS (for endangered birds)
- Fly at 400 feet
- 70% Overlap
- 100 acre footprints







Ground Control Points

- Strategically placed throughout the salt • marsh
- Appear in all bands •
- Allows remote sensing data to be accurately • stacked



GCP Spacing Distance



(GCP Spacing [m]) = (camera-GCP degrees of separation) Image Footprint Width m²

UAS Temporal Resolution



Flight Information

- Receive permissions from nearby airports, land owners, and conservation commissions (for endangered birds)
- Fly at 400 feet
- 70% Overlap
- 100 acre footprints







Ground Control Points

- Strategically placed throughout the salt marsh
- Appear in all bands
- Allows remote sensing data to be accurately stacked



GCP Spacing Distance



(GCP Spacing [m]) = (camera-GCP degrees of separation) Image Footprint Width m²

Data Products

Orthomosaics



Digital Elevation Models (DEMS)



Essex Bay (North Shore)



6 bands, 3 tidal cycles =

18 features per pixel, per day

1,458,000,000 data points per site per day!



Decision Trees and Machine Learning



Vegetation Classification



Salt Marsh Classification

First Level: Class (first digit - number)

1 - Vegetated: > 30% vegetation cover

- 2 Water feature: 100% inundated at typical high tide with < 30% vegetation cover
- 3 Bare ground: Exposed at typical high tidewith < 30% vegetation cover



1 - Vegetated: > 30% vegetation cover

Salt Marsh Classification Subclass

- 01 Low marsh (tall form Spartina alterniflora dominant)
- 02 Intermediate marsh (mix of high marsh vegetation and tall form S. alterniflora)
- 03 Transitional marsh 1: short form S. alterniflora dominant (> 80%) mixed with typical high marsh species
- 04 Transitional marsh 2: short form *S. alterniflora* common or dominant (30-80%) mixed with typical high marsh species
- 05 Transitional marsh 3: S. patens & D. spicata dominant but mixed with 5-30% short form S. alterniflora
- 06 High marsh 1: > 90% plant cover in *S. patens* & *D. spicata* and < 5% short form *S. alterniflora*
- 07 High marsh 2: < 90% plant cover in *S. patens* & *D. spicata*, mixed with other high marsh species but < 10% shrub species and < 5% short form *S. alterniflora*
- 08 Juncus gerardii band: > 50% of marsh vegetation is Juncus gerardii
- 09 Salt-shrub marsh (high marsh vegetation mixed with shrub species)
- 10 Salicornia or Suaeda marsh
- 11 Brackish marsh
- 12 Brackish marsh Phragmites: > 30% vegetative cover of *Phragmites australis*
- 13 Vegetated ditch edges: mix of high marsh vegetation and intermediate form (neither tall nor short) *Spartina alterniflora* as linear features along the edges of water features (typically along the crown of ditch banks)



Inertial Labs RESEPI with HESAI XT32







LiDAR DTMs



Filtered LiDAR Digital Terrain Model

RGB Orthomosaic

Identification of Historical Embankments



Filtered LiDAR DTMs





HOBO Water Logger Array



Creek Channel Erosion



Zoom in to show extent of 300x300 tiles



Training set: 50/50 300x300 tiles, multiple sites.





Essex Bay with tiling showing

Funding









Towns: Newbury, Essex, Scituate, Marshfield, Westport, Harwich, Chatham, Barnstable, Wellfleet